

MuCool Results and Plans

Muon Cooling R&D NuFact 03 A. Bross



The MuCool Collaboration

- Mission
 - Design, prototype and test all cooling channel components
 - Perform high beam-power engineering test of cooling section
 - Support MICE (cooling demonstration experiment)
 - Consists of 18 institutions from the US, Europe, and Japan

RF Development ANL Fermilab IIT LBNL Univ. of Mississippi

Beam Diagnostics ANL Fermilab IIT Princeton Univ. of Chicago Absorber R&D Fermilab IIT KEK NIU Oxford UIUC Univ. of Mississippi Univ. Osaka

Solenoids LBNL Cooling Demonstration (MICE) ANL BNL Fermilab Fairfield IIT Iowa JLab LBNL NIU UCLA UCCA UC Riverside UIUC Univ. of Chicago



SFOFO Cooling Lattice

- R&D Focus of MuCool
 - Component testing Fermilab
 - ▲ High Power
 - Both RF and Beam
 - System test as shown in MICE @ RAL





Research and Development Challenges

- Can NCRF cavities be built that provide the required accelerating gradients?
 - AND operate in multi-tesla fields!
- Can the heat from *dE/dx* losses be adequately removed from the absorbers?
 - On the order of 100's W for a neutrino factory
- Can the channel be engineered with an acceptably low thickness of non-absorber material in the aperture?
 - Absorber, RF, & safety windows
- Can the channel be designed & engineered to be cost effective?



RF Cavity R and D

ANL/FNAL/IIT/LBNL/UMiss



RF Cavity R&D - Prototype Tests



Lab G RF Cave showing 5T SC Magnet 44 cm bore

Work to date has focused on using 805 MHz cavities for test

- Allows for smaller less expensive testing than at 201 MHz
- + Lab G work at Fermilab
 - ▲ Phase I
 - Open Cell cavity reached 54 MV/m surface field (25 MV/m on axis)
 - Large dark currents increased with
 B field tested to 2.5T





RF Cavity Prototype Tests 805 MHz Open Cell

- Reached peak surface field of 54 MV/m (25 on axis)
- Large dark currents
 - Damage to windows
 - In worst case, Power loading to LH absorbers = to that from high intensity beam





Ti window (125 mm) showing spark damage



RF Cavity Prototype Tests 805 MHz Pillbox

- Lab G Tests Phase II
 - Closed Cell (pillbox) E_{acc}/E_{surf} = 0.99
 - Reached 34MV/m with little sparking and low background
 - ▲ Thick Cu windows
 - ▲ B=0





RF Cavity Prototype Tests 805 MHz Pillbox

- With Solenoidal field
 - Thin (0.015") Cu windows
 - ▲ Dark currents much larger, damage seen
 - Pitting
 - Dark currents reduced via conditioning
 - ▲ Conditioned to 20 MV/m
 - Be windows (0.010")
 - ▲ TiN coated
 - Conditioned to 16MV/m
 - Dark currents then rose
 - ▲ However, no damage in evidence to Be
 - Copper contamination
 - From iris/flange surface
 - At 8MV/m dark currents very low
 - Acceptable for MICE





RF Cavity Prototype Tests 805 MHz Pillbox

- Be surface showed very little damage to the Be
 - Cu "blobs" re-solidified on Be surface
 - ▲ Tips of Emitters in high-field regions get hot
 - Break or melt and form conducting path
 - Accelerated into window
- Ge Detector
 - + Energy distribution of detected e⁻ and γ



Fig 7, SEM image of Be Windows showing copper.





RF R&D - 201 MHz Cavity Design

- Design Complete
 - Electrical, Mechanical, and thermal analyses have been done
 - $E_{surf}^{pk} = 26.5 \text{ MV/m}$
 - Fabrication has started
 - Goal is to have a 201 MHz cavity under test at Fermilab 04







RF R&D - 201 MHz Cavity Design

- 201 MHz design accommodates either solid Be windows or tube-grid structure
 - The large aperture (≈ 20 cm radius)
 - ▲ Heat load requires Be widows of ≥ 1 mm thickness
 - Concern with pre-stressed flat windows
 - "Inflected" bellows design
 - ▲ Al tube grid structure (gas cooled) is an option







RF R&D - 201 MHz Cavity Design Tube-Grid Aperture Study

- Finite Element analysis of tube grid design
 - First applied to electromagnetic model of 805 MHz cavity
 - ▲ For Lab G tests
 - Field enhancement between 1.4 and 3.6 depending on configuration
 - = Emax at tube surface/E at cavity center



		-		
Tube DIA (cm) Grid	0.50	1.00	1.25	1.50
4×4-Connected	3.60			
4×4 -Waffle	2.30	1.80		
6×6 -Waffle		1.64	1.40	1.39
6x6 Middle- Concentrated/Waffle		1.40		

Maximum Surface Field Enhancement



Absorber R and D

IIT/KEK/NIU/Osaka/Oxford/UIUC/UMiss



Absorber Design Issues

• 2D Transverse Cooling

 $\frac{d\epsilon_N}{ds} = -\frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{dE_\mu}{ds} \frac{\epsilon_N}{E_\mu} + \frac{\beta_\perp (0.014 \text{ GeV})^2}{2\beta^3 E_\mu m_\mu L_R}$

and
$$\epsilon_{N,\min} = \frac{\beta_{\perp} (14 \text{ MeV})^2}{2\beta m_{\mu} \frac{dE_{\mu}}{ds} L_R}$$

• Figure of merit: $M=L_R dE_{\mu}/ds$ M² (4D cooling) for different absorbers

	$\langle \mathrm{d}E/\mathrm{d}s \rangle_{\mathrm{min}}$	L_R	
Material	$({\rm MeVg^{-1}cm^2})$	$(\mathrm{gcm^{-2}})$	Merit
GH_2	4.103	61.28	1.03
LH_2	4.034	61.28	1
He	1.937	94.32	0.55
LiH	1.94	86.9	0.47
Li	1.639	82.76	0.30
CH_4	2.417	46.22	0.20
Be	1.594	65.19	0.18



Absorber

 $\begin{array}{l} Momentum \mbox{ loss is} \\ \mbox{ opposite to motion,} \\ p, \mbox{ }_{{\bf Y}}, \mbox{ }_{{\bf V}}, \mbox{ }_{{\bf A}} E \mbox{ decrease} \end{array}$

Accelerator

Momentum gain is purely longitudinal

H₂ is clearly Best – Neglecting Engineering Issues Windows, Safety



Absorber Design Issues

- Design Criteria
 - High Power Handling
 - ▲ Study II few 100 W to 1 KW with "upgraded" (4MW) proton driver
 - ▲ 10 KW in ring cooler
 - Must remove heat
 - Safety issues regarding use of LH₂ (or gaseous H₂)
 - ▲ Window design paramount
 - H₂ containment
 - Proximity to RF adds constraints (ignition source)
 - Window material must be low Z and relatively thin in order to maintain cooling performance





Absorber R&D

Two LH₂ absorber designs are being studied
 Handle the power load differently





Forced-Convection-cooled. Has internal heat exchanger (LHe) and heater

Forced-Flow with external cooling loop



Convection Absorber

- Convection is driven by beam power and internal heaters
- LHe heat exchanger removes heat from absorber walls
- Two-dimensional Computational Fluid Dynamics calcs
 - Flow essentially transverse
 - Max flow near beam
 - Heaters required to setup convective loops







Forced-Flow Absorber

- Heat removed with external heat exchanger
 - LH₂ pumped from absorber to heat exchanger
 - Nozzles in flow path establish turbulent flow
 - Simulation via 2D and 3D FEA









Absorber Windows

- Thin windows are required in all absorber designs
 - Critical design issue
 - ▲ Performance
 - ▲ Safety
 - First examples made with AL T6061
 - Maybe even thinner with
 - ▲ Al-Li alloy 2195



Design Iteration HemiSpherical – Inflected







Absorber Windows

- Window design relies on FEA analysis
 - No closed-form analysis
- Photogrammetry used to verify "as-built" parameters
- Safety Requirements require destructive testing of windows
 - - ▲ Conformation
 - ▲ Photogrammetry and FEA predictions agree to within 5%
 - 4 Destructive tests have been done
 - ▲ Thinnest meets pressure specification







350 μ window



120 psi













Absorber R&D - Flow Studies

- Schlieren testing of convective flow (water) at ANL using 20 MeV e⁻ beam (J. Norem, L. Bandura)
 - Intial measurement indicate data can be used to validate flow calculations





Absorber R&D - Gaseous Absorber

- Complications imposed by window design and flow characteristics can by addressed by considering a gaseous absorber
 - Absorbers migrate into RF cavities Fill cavities with high pressure H₂
 - ▲ Most windows can be eliminated
 - \blacktriangle Heat removal, flow characteristics simplified
 - 80K operation at 100 Atm (1/2 density of $LH_{\rm 2})$
 - Also improved (lower) Cu surface resistance (X20 @ 200 MHz)
 - ▲ Large Potential impact on channel
 - Higher gradient RF
 - Shorter channel
 - ▲ Breakdown governed by Pashen Law
 - $V_s = 0.448(nd) + 0.6(nd)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
 - d = gap thickness
 - n = number density of gas molecules
 - At high P \propto n
 - At high P
 - MFP extremely short
 - Difficult to initiate avalanche





Gaseous Absorber - Muon's Inc

(MV/m)

ບ 20

30

10

0 + 0

1948

50

100

Absolute Pressure (PSIA)

150

200

250

- Work on Phase I STTR
 - 805 MHz test cell
 - ▲ Tested at Lab G
 - Cell conditioned at 450 psig @ 80K
 - Max stable gradient
 47 MV/m
 - * Data agree well with Pashen Law up to \approx 170 psig
 - From 170-500 psig no increase in max gradient
 - ▲ Surface breakdown
 - Improve electrode surface qualities
 - Data extrapolate to almost 240 MV/m at 80K & 100 atm



-breakdown

-stable



MuCool Test Area

400 MeV High-Intensity Proton Beam line



MuCool Test Area (MTA)



- Facility to test all components of cooling channel (not a test of ionization cooling)
 - At high beam power
 - ▲ Designed to accommodate full Linac Beam
 - ▲ 1.6 X 10¹³ p/pulse @15 Hz
 - 2.4 X 10¹⁴ p/s
 - ≈ 600 W into 35 cm LH₂ absorber @ 400 MeV
 - RF power from Linac (201 and 805 MHz test stands)
 - ▲ Waveguides pipe power to MTA





MuCool Test Area (MTA)

- Construction of facility slightly ahead of schedule
- Beneficial occupancy is expected to be in September
- First Use
 - Convective LH₂
 absorber tests
- 201 and 805 MHz RF testing towards end of FY 04
 - Installation of LH₂ and LHe systems in FY 04 depends on funding
- Beam to area in FY 06



Status as of May 20



Beam line Instrumentation

- A number of areas have been investigated
 - Ultra-fast timing
 - ▲ Cerenkov -Photocathode-MCP
 - $\sigma_{\tau} = 6.5 \text{ ps}$ (intrinsic)
 - Beam Profile Monitors
 - ▲ Bolometry
 - Thin films applied to absorber windows
 - Ni & C films tested at ANL e beam showed promising results
 - Pt may be a better choice
 - ▲ CVD Diamond
 - For beam diagnostics can be very thin
 - Lots of charge 36 e-h/μmmip
 - Rad Hard
 - Low-quality (small *mfp*) might be useable
 - First strip prototype ready for test





- Cooling Components as mentioned
 - Absorbers 2D and 3D Finite Element Analysis (FEA)

2D Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)

- RF Electromagnetic modeling of Be windows and grids FEA modeling of window deflection/stress
- Quad-focused cooling channel
- Study II cooling channel
 - GEANT4 simulation including latest window design
- MICE
 - GEANT4 framework developed



- Muon Ionization Cooling Experiment (MICE)
 - Demonstration of "Study II" cooling channel concept
- MuCool Collaboration interface to MICE
 - Design Optimization/develop of Study II cooling channel
 - ▲ Simulations
 - Detailed engineering
 - ▲ Full component design
 - ▲ Systems integration
 - ▲ Safety
 - RF cavity development, fabrication, and test
 - Absorber development, fabrication, and test
 - Development of beam line instrumentation
 - MuCool will prototype and test cooling hardware including MICE pieces which the collaboration is responsible
- High-intensity Beam Tests are responsibility of MuCool and are, of course, fully complementary to MICE



MuCool Plans

- Continue 805 MHz RF studies in Lab G
 - Window and grid tests
 - Surface treatment/materials tests
 - ▲ Effect on dark current and breakdown
- Continue development of thin windows for absorbers
 - Already within the material budget of Study II even with the extra windows
- Begin work in the MuCool Test Area (MTA)
 - First work in MTA to be test fill of LH₂ absorber (convective)
- In FY04
 - Provide 201 & 805 MHz capability for MTA
 - Provide as much of the cryo infrastructure as funding allows
 - Fabricate first 201 MHz cavity and bring to MTA for test
 - Possibly move Lab G magnet to MTA for test with cavity
- In FY05
 - Complete MTA cryo (if needed)
 - Fabricate coupling-coil prototype
 - Begin installation of 400 MeV beam line from Linac
- In FY06
 - Bring high intensity beam to MTA
 - ▲ Test complete set of cooling components in high intensity beam



Conclusion

- Excellent progress has been made in the last year
 - On-going NCRF R&D has demonstrated High Gradient low dark current operation
 - ▲ R&D continues in order to continue to push HG Low DC operation in B field
 - Use of Be RF windows looks promising
 - Design of LH₂ absorbers and windows has matured
 - ▲ "Thin" window required spec appears to have been met
 - Detailed engineering of components has matured
 - MuCool Test Area construction is on (slightly ahead) of schedule
- Speed of progress in FY04+ will depend on funding
- MuCool is a thriving International Collaboration
 - Absorbers Japan
 - Absorber/Window design UK
 - Addressing many of the needs of MICE