

Phase 1 Tasks

International Scoping Study: Machine Working Group

Michael S. Zisman
Center for Beam Physics
Accelerator & Fusion Research Division
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

ISS Machine Council Meeting
August 22, 2005

Proton Driver

- Examine candidate machine types for 4 MW operation
 - FFAG (scaling and/or non-scaling)
 - Linac (SPL and/or Fermilab approach)
 - Synchrotron (J-PARC and/or AGS approach)
- consider
 - beam current limitations (injection, acceleration, activation)
 - bunch length limitations and schemes to handle (1-3 ns)
 - repetition rate limitations (power, vacuum chamber,...)
 - tolerances (field errors, alignment, RF stability,...)
 - practical limitations on beam energy, if any (e.g., RF power)
- Compare and contrast Superbeam and Neutrino Factory requirements

Target/Capture/Decay

- Production rates as $f(E)$ for C, Ni, Hg
 - do reality check with HARP data if possible
- Target limitations for 4 MW operation
 - use guidance from FEA and experiments
 - consider bunch intensity, spacing, repetition rate
- Implications of 1 vs. 3 ns bunches on delivered beam
- Superbeam vs. Neutrino Factory comparisons
 - required emittance and focusing
 - horn vs. solenoid capture
 - energy range of interest
 - choice of target material

Bunching/ Φ Rotation/Cooling (1)

- Compare performance of existing schemes (KEK, CERN, U.S.-FS 2b)
 - use common proton driver and target configuration(s)
 - consider possibility of both signs simultaneously
 - conclusions will require cost comparisons, which will come later
- Evaluate implications of reduced V_{RF} for each scheme
 - take $V_{max} = 0.75 V_{des}$ and $0.5 V_{des}$
 - re-optimize system based on new V_{max} , changing lattice, absorber, no. of cavities, etc.
- Optimize Φ Rotation/Bunching with lower gradients and/or fewer frequencies
 - evaluate performance
 - costs will come later

- Evaluate trade-offs between cooling efficacy and downstream acceptance
 - consider several values of downstream acceptance (longitudinal and transverse)
 - small, medium, and large (or extra-large?)
 - see how much can cooling channel be simplified
 - develop agreed-upon figure-of-merit (e.g., μ/P_{prot})
 - consider need/merits of longitudinal cooling
 - costs will come later
- Evaluate performance issues and limitations
 - absorbers (LH_2 , LiH , Be or plastic)
 - consider implications of both sign muons
 - RF windows
 - interactions with Target group recommended for this topic

Acceleration

- Compare different schemes *on an even footing*
 - RLA, scaling FFAG, non-scaling FFAG, linac
 - consider implications of keeping both sign muons
- Prepare scenarios with different values of acceptance
 - transverse and longitudinal
 - small, medium, large (or extra-large?)
 - these will be used later to assess cost vs. acceptance
- Consider matching between acceleration subsystems
 - are there simplifications in using fewer types of machines?

Storage Ring

- Design implications of final energy (20 vs. 50 GeV)
- Optics requirements vs. beam emittance
 - arcs, injection and decay straight sections
- Implications of keeping both sign muons
 - can there be both injection and decay optics in this case?
- Implications of two simultaneous baselines
- Radiation issues at 10^{21} useful neutrinos per year
 - liner vs. open-midplane magnets
- Cost implications of design will be dealt with later

Organization

- Strawman organizer names (updated)
 - Driver: Garoby, Kirk, Mori, Prior
 - Target: Lettry, McDonald
 - Phase rotation/Bunching/Cooling: Fernow, Yoshimura
 - Acceleration: Berg, Mori, Prior
 - Storage Ring: Johnstone, Keil, Rees
 - names in green not yet confirmed

Summary

- We need to get web pages set up and encourage the task groups to start meeting regularly
 - I have asked Juan Gallardo and Scott Berg to help with this
- We need to firm up plans for topics and speakers for CERN meeting (**see my later presentation**)
 - we need a web registration page ASAP with an indication of who will attend our Working Group
- Must remind all task coordinators that we need ingredients for ongoing accelerator R&D program
 - this should be prioritized and filtered such that it appears "finite"