



# Report of Project Manager

Michael S. Zisman

NFMCC Project Manager

Center for Beam Physics

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

NFMCC Meeting-LBNL January 25, 2009



#### Introduction



- ·U.S. Neutrino Factory and Muon Collider Collaboration (NFMCC) explores techniques for producing, accelerating, and storing intense muon beams
  - near-term focus: muon storage ring to serve as source of wellcharacterized neutrinos ("Neutrino Factory") for long baseline experiments (~3000-7500 km)
  - longer-term focus: Muon Collider
    - Higgs Factory operating at few-hundred GeV or energy-frontier collider operating at several TeV
  - both types of machine are difficult, but have high scientific potential
  - common feature of these state-of-the-art machines is the need for a sustained R&D program
    - o most modern projects (LHC, ILC, CLIC) share this need
- ·FNAL directorate and P5 attention have given Muon Collider R&D a higher profile
  - this is reflected in recently submitted 5-year R&D plan




# Neutrino Factory Ingredients




#### · Neutrino Factory comprises these sections

- Proton Driver
  - oprimary beam on production target
- Target, Capture, and Decay

   create  $\pi$ ; decay into  $\mu \Rightarrow \text{MERIT}$
- Bunching and Phase Rotation
   oreduce ∆E of bunch
- Cooling
   reduce transverse emittance
   ⇒ MICE
- Acceleration
   <sub>0</sub> 130 MeV → 20-50 GeV
   with RLAs or FFAGs
- Decay Ring
   store for 500 turns;
   long straight(s)






### Muon Collider Ingredients



- · Muon Collider comprises these sections (similar to NF)
  - Proton Driver
    - oprimary beam on production target
  - Target, Capture, and Decay
    - $_{\circ}$  create  $\pi$ ; decay into  $\mu \Rightarrow MERIT$
  - Bunching and Phase Rotation
    - oreduce ∆E of bunch
  - Cooling
    - oreduce long. and transverse emittance
    - $\Rightarrow$  MICE  $\rightarrow$  6D experiment
  - Acceleration
    - $_{\circ}$  130 MeV  $\rightarrow$  ~1 TeV with RLAs or FFAGs
  - Collider Ring
    - ostore for 500 turns



Much of Muon Collider R&D is common with Neutrino Factory R&D



### Muon Accelerator Advantages



- Muon-beam accelerators can address several of the outstanding accelerator-related particle physics questions
  - neutrino sector
    - Neutrino Factory beam properties

$$\mu^{+} \rightarrow e^{+} \nu_{e} \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \Rightarrow 50\% \nu_{e} + 50\% \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$$

$$\mu^{-} \rightarrow e^{-} \overline{\nu}_{e} \nu_{\mu} \Rightarrow 50\% \overline{\nu}_{e} + 50\% \nu_{\mu}$$

Produces high energy neutrinos

- o decay kinematics well known
  - minimal hadronic uncertainties in the spectrum and flux
- $_{\circ}\,\nu_{e}\!\!\to\!\!\nu_{\mu}$  oscillations give easily detectable "wrong-sign"  $\mu$
- energy frontier
  - opoint particle makes full beam energy available for particle production
    - couples strongly to Higgs sector
  - Muon Collider has almost no synchrotron radiation
    - narrow energy spread at IP compared with ete- collider
    - uses expensive RF equipment efficiently (⇒ fits on existing Lab sites)



# Muon Beam Challenges (1)



- Muons created as tertiary beam (p  $\rightarrow \pi \rightarrow \mu$ )
  - low production rate
    - oneed target that can tolerate multi-MW beam
  - large energy spread and transverse phase space
    - oneed solenoidal focusing for the low energy portions of the facility
      - solenoids focus in both planes simultaneously
    - oneed emittance cooling
    - ohigh-acceptance acceleration system and decay ring
- · Muons have short lifetime (2.2 µs at rest)
  - puts premium on rapid beam manipulations
    - ohigh-gradient RF cavities (in magnetic field) for cooling
    - opresently untested ionization cooling technique
    - ofast acceleration system
- Decay electrons give rise to backgrounds in collider detector



### Muon Beam Challenges (2)



- · RF challenges (our highest priority)
  - high-gradient operation in strong magnetic field
     or, when filled with LH<sub>2</sub> in an intense beam

#### Magnet challenges

- 20 T magnet in high radiation environment (target)
- large aperture solenoids (up to 1.5 m) in cooling channel
- very strong solenoids (~50 T) for final collider cooling stages
- low fringe fields in acceleration system
  - o to accommodate SC RF cavities
- high mid-plane heat load in decay or collider ring

If intense muon beams were easy to produce, we'd already have them!



### R&D Management Process



- · Each year R&D groups propose annual program to TB
  - based on overall NFMCC budget guidance from DOE
- · PM prepares budget based on this input
  - note: budget determined by R&D program, not "institutional commitments"
  - subsequently approved by TB, EB, and Co-Spokespersons
- After budget finalized, PM negotiates milestones with each institution based on R&D plan
  - milestones specify both dates and deliverables
    - o "report card" generated at year's end to audit performance
- · PM summarizes spending and accomplishments each year in detailed report
  - given to MCOG and DOE at annual MUTAC review



### R&D Overview (1)



#### NFMCC R&D program has the following components:


- simulation and theory effort
  - o supports both Neutrino Factory and Muon Collider design
    - NF work presently done under aegis of IDS-NF
- development of high-power target technology ("Targetry")
- development of cooling channel components ("MuCool")

#### · We participate in system tests as an international partner

- MERIT (high-power Hg-jet target) [completed; analysis ongoing]
- MICE (ionization cooling demonstration)
- EMMA (non-scaling FFAG electron model)

#### · Hardware development and system tests are major focus

- simulation effort has led to cost-effective Neutrino factory design
  - o and progress toward a complete Muon Collider scenario
    - just as for NF, simulations will guide hardware and system tests



### R&D Overview (2)



- NFMCC R&D program has already led to many innovative accelerator concepts and approaches
  - driven by our desire to solve challenging technical problems in support of the HEP experimental program
    - oenhanced support will further such innovation
      - which will be needed to build a Muon Collider

#### · Examples:

Solenoidal pion capture from target

RF phase rotation and bunching scheme

Non scaling FFAG concept

Muon cooling channels (linear, ring, helix)

Theory of breakdown and conditioning in RF cavities

High-pressure gas-filled cavities for cooling\*

Linear 6D helical cooling channel\*

Phase space manipulation techniques\*

High-field HTSC solenoids for giving low emittance\*


<sup>\*</sup>Muons, Inc.



# Ionization Cooling (1)



- Ionization cooling analogous to familiar SR damping process in electron storage rings
  - energy loss (SR or dE/ds) reduces  $p_{x}$ ,  $p_{y}$ ,  $p_{z}$
  - energy gain (RF cavities) restores only  $p_z$
  - repeating this reduces  $p_{x,y}/p_z$  ( $\Rightarrow$  4D cooling)
  - presence of LH<sub>2</sub> near RF cavities is an engineering challenge • we get lots of "design help" from Lab safety committees!





# Ionization Cooling (2)



- · There is also a heating term
  - for SR it is quantum excitation
  - for ionization cooling it is multiple scattering

• Balance between heating and cooling gives equilibrium emittance  $\frac{1}{2E} = \frac{1}{2E} = \frac{1}{2E}$ 

$$\frac{d\varepsilon_N}{ds} = -\frac{1}{\beta^2} \left| \frac{dE_{\mu}}{ds} \right| \frac{\varepsilon_N}{E_{\mu}} + \frac{\beta_{\perp} (0.014 \,\text{GeV})^2}{2 \,\beta^3 E_{\mu} m_{\mu} X_0}$$

Cooling

Heating

$$\varepsilon_{x,N,equil.} = \frac{\beta_{\perp} (0.014 \,\text{GeV})^2}{2\beta \, m_{\mu} X_0 \left| \frac{dE_{\mu}}{ds} \right|}$$


— prefer low  $\beta_{\perp}$  (strong focusing), large  $X_0$  and dE/ds (H<sub>2</sub> is best)




# 6D Cooling




- · For 6D cooling, add emittance exchange to the mix
  - increase energy loss for high-energy compared with low-energy muons
    - oput wedge-shaped absorber in dispersive region
    - ouse extra path length in continuous absorber



FOFO Snake



Cooling ring



Single pass; avoids injection/extraction issues

"Guggenheim" channel



### Funding Status



- · Since FY03, NFMCC budget has been nearly "flat-flat"
  - in next 5 years, we desire to increase funds to \$20M (NFMCC+MCTF)

Year	DOE-base	DOE-NFMCC	TOTAL
	(\$M)	(\$M)	(\$M)
FY00	3.3	4.7	8.0
FY01	3.0	3.2	6.2
FY02	3.0	2.8	5.8
FY03	2.1	1.4	3.5
FY04	2.2	1.8ª)	4.0
FY05	1.9	1.7	3.6
FY06	1.8	2.1 <sup>b)</sup>	3.9
FY07	1.9	2.4	4.3 <sup>c)</sup>
FY08	2.1 <sup>d)</sup>	1.7	3.8
FY09	2.2 <sup>d)</sup>	1.6	3.8

Includes \$0.4M supplemental funds

- helped by NSF funding for MICE and DOE-SBIR funding for Muons, Inc.
  - NSF: \$100K per year (FY05-07); \$750K FY06 MRI grant (tracker electronics, spectrometer solenoid); \$133K/year (FY08-10); FY08 MRI grant (\$798K) (coupling coils and MICE RF)
- also, UC-Riverside (state) funds for spectrometer solenoid

b)Includes \$0.3M supplemental funds

Includes \$0.7M supplemental funds

d)Includes \$0.25M funds at BNL previously designated as AARD



# FY08 Budget



- FY08 budget finalized by Spokespersons and PM in November 2007
  - MICE was the big-ticket item this year
    - LBNL generated MOU with RAL to cover "donation" of spectrometer solenoids
      - and later donation of RFCC modules
    - orules on this keep changing (want less formality, so no Addendum)

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
Between
THE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY FACILITIES COUNCIL

THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY

FOR THE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY FACILITIES COUNCIL

By Topkells

Tony Wells

Date 13 Ochson 2008.

FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY

Steven Chu

Date 9/22/08

We ask that you acknowledge, by your signature below, that RAL agrees to accept the aforementioned contribution to MICE.

Sincerely,

Stephen A. Gourlay, Director
Accelerator & Fusion Research Division

ncurrence: 10 may 11 Chbs

Norman McCubbin, RAL/STFC

Signed by U.S. Secretary of Energy!



### FY08 Funding Distribution




#### · FY08 NFMCC budget (only DOE-NFMCC funds)†

†Also: salary support from BNL, FNAL, LBNL; support from NSF of \$1M (\$750K MRI + \$100K 3-yr grant); support of Muons, Inc. via SBIR grants

Institution	COOLING /MICE	TARGETRY	ACCEL./ COLLIDER	RESERVE	TOTAL (\$K)	
BNL	7111200	145	90		235	
FNAL	55				55	
LBNL <sup>a</sup>	810			22	832	
ANL	190				190	
IIT	80				80	
Mississippi	20		10		30	
Princeton		40			40	
UCLA			55		55	
UC-Riverside			95		95	
ORNL		85			85	
Jlab	3		10		13	
TOTAL (\$K)	1158	270	260	22	1710	
aIncludes MICE funding of \$575K.						


January 25, 2009



### Incremental Funding




- Starting last year, \$250K of BNL funds previously labeled AARD were relabeled as muon funds
  - our flat-flat base went from \$3.6M to \$3.85M
    - othis was not in increment, just a reassignment
- Due to havoc caused by Congressional budget cut, no supplemental funds were requested in FY08
  - we were basically left unscathed, but without hope of more funding
     put a crimp in MICE funding plans, but did not introduce a major delay
    - putting a good face on fact that we were behind schedule due to lack of engineering support
      - now rectified, fortunately



### Budget Comments



- · By juggling projects across fiscal year boundaries and careful prioritization, we continue to make progress
  - all our R&D efforts, including our international project commitments, have no contingency
    - only recourse for "contingent events" is delay (schedule slippage)
      - in past years, we've been fairly lucky
      - more complicated endeavors now under way caused luck to run out
  - MICE schedule has been delayed 1 year, due to inability to provide components in sufficiently timely way
    - opartially, but not exclusively, due to NFMCC
- · Emphasis on hardware development for international experiments comes at price of attrition in effort level
  - trying this year to augment post-docs
    - oneed growth in this area; many interesting problems to work on
  - Lab budgets, especially BNL's, remain severely strained



#### FY08 R&D Goals



#### Main goals for FY08 included:

- decommission MERIT experiment
- continue development of MuCool Test Area (MTA)
   continue implementation of cryogenic system
- continue high-power tests of 805-MHz cavity
- continue high-power tests of 201-MHz cavity
   lack of availability of RF sources was crippling here
- continue fabrication of MICE spectrometer solenoids and begin design work for RFCC modules
- continue simulation effort in support of IDS-NF
- continue exploring and optimizing 6D cooling performance  $_{\circ}$  in conjunction with MCTF



#### FY08 Milestones



- Prior to distribution of funds, each institution provided milestones agreed upon by PM
  - these (example below) reflect budget allocations for each institution, including base program funds

U-Miss. [Summers]		
Milestone	Date	Deliverable
Complete machining of second Cherenkov detector and ship to RAL	Jan-08	Inspection
Commission both Cherenkov detectors for MICE	Apr-08	Inspection
Begin manufacture of MuCool coupling coil support	Sep-08	Inspection
Study magnetic field grid for muon inverse cyclotron cooler	Jul-08	NFMCC presentation
Study longitudinal dynamics and magnet design for 750 GeV muon accelerator in Tevatron tunnel	Sep-08	NFMCC note
IIT [Kaplan]		
Milestone	Date	Deliverable
Continue web support for MICE experiment	Sep-08	Inspection
Continue MTA radiation measurements with 805- and 201-MHz cavities	Jun-08	NuFact08 presentation
Commission MICE tracker readout system	Feb-08	MICE presentation
Carry out MICE beam line optimization and tuning	Apr-08	MICE note
Continue button tests at 805 MHz	Sep-08	NFMCC note
Carry out MICE background analysis based on MTA data	Jun-08	NuFact08 presentation
Contribute to MICE operations	Sep-08	Inspection
Update MTA DAQ system documentation	Sep-08	NFMCC note
UC-Riverside [Hanson]		
Milestone	Date	Deliverable
Hire MICE simulation post-doctoral research associate (NSF-funded)	Sep-08	Inspection
Continue simulations of 6D muon cooling	Sep-08	NFMCC note
Participate in MICE tracker commissioning and operation	Sep-08	MICE note
Participate in muon cooling simulations for the International Design Study	Jun-08	NFMCC note
Participate in design and simulation of 6D muon cooling demonstration experiment	Sep-08	NFMCC/MCTF note



# FY08 Accounting



#### · Summary of FY08 spending:

	Colla	Collaboration		Overall		
Institution	Committed (\$K)	Uncommitted (\$K)	Committed (\$K)	Total (\$K)	Contact	
ANL	183	7.3	140	322	J. Norem	
BNL [1]	224	147	1201	1425	H. Kirk	
FNAL [2]	20	105	5110	5130	A. Bross	
LBNL [3]	1115	1619	468	1583	M. Zisman	
ORNL	52	37	0	52	T. Burgess	
Princeton U.	45	0	70	115	K. McDonald	
UCLA	55	0	34	89	D. Cline	
UC-Riverside [4]	78	17	313	391	G. Hanson	
Mississippi [5]	30	0	8	38	D. Summers	
IIT [5]	82	0	0	82	D. Kaplan	
Jlab	11	8	0	11	R. Rimmer	
NSF MICE Support [6]	334	819	0	334	D. Summers/G. Hanson/D. Kaplan	
TOTALS [7]	1895	1940	7344	9239	-	
	2229	2759	!	9572	<u>.</u>	


#### NOTES:

- [1] Uncommitted funds for MERIT decommissioning.
- [2] Uncommitted funds for LiH absorbers.
- [3] Includes \$128K in uncommitted Project Reserve funds maintained by LBNL
- [4] Base funds are UC-Riverside startup funds.
- [5] Only DOE funds. NSF funding reported separately.
- [6] Funds allocated to UC-Riverside and U.-Miss. as primary contractors.
- [7] DOE totals in Roman type; additional NSF funding shown in italics.

January 25, 2009

Note substantial increase in

FNAL muon funds (MCTF)



### Recent R&D Accomplishments



- · R&D progress made on most fronts:
  - Simulations/IDS-NF + MC
  - Targetry/MERIT
  - Cooling/MICE
- Acceleration work has been on hold due to lack of funding at Cornell
  - trying to restart at Jlab in FY09



#### Simulations



#### ·NFMCC has been engaged in a number of efforts

- Feasibility Study I (with FNAL)
- Feasibility Study II (with BNL)
- APS Multi-Divisional Neutrino Study ("Study IIa," see http://www.aps.org/policy/reports/multidivisional/neutrino/)
- International Scoping Study (see http://www.hep.ph.ic.ac.uk/iss/)
  - Accelerator Working Group Report submitted to JINST
- follow-on IDS-NF to develop engineered facility design and corresponding cost estimate is under way (see http://www.ids-nf.org)
  - Berg playing a lead role in this enterprise

#### · Accomplishments


- simplification of NF front-end design while maintaining performance
   "simplification" ⇒ cost savings of roughly 1/3 cf. study II
- development of international consensus on NF design aspects
- working with MCTF toward MC facility design (increasing interest here)



#### IDS-NF Baseline



- A baseline configuration for the Neutrino Factory has been specified
  - based in large measure on the Study IIa cooling channel design





### IDS-NF Baseline Parameters




Proton Driver		Acceleration	Acceleration		
Proton power	$4\mathrm{MW}$	RF frequency	201.25 MHz		
Proton kinetic energy	5-15 GeV	RF type	Superconducting		
Pulses per second	50	Total energy at injection	244 MeV		
Bunches per pulse	3	Transverse normalized acceptance at input	30 mm		
Minimum time between bunches	17 μs	Longitudinal normalized acceptance at input	150 mm		
Maximum time for all bunches	40 μs	Stage 1, type	Linac		
RMS proton bunch length	1-3 ns	5 - 22	Solenoid FOFO		
Target		Stage 1, lattice cell			
Material	Hg	Stage 1, lattice files	linac_sol.opt linac_sol.mad		
Type	Liquid jet	Total energy, stage 1-2 transition	0.9 GeV		
Jet diameter  Jet velocity	1 cm 20 m/s	Stage 2, type	Dogbone RLA		
Jet angle to axis	100 mrad	Stage 2, cavity aperture diameter	30 cm		
Jet angle to proton beam	33 mrad	Stage 2, energy gain per cavity cell	12.75 MV		
Proton beam angle to axis	67 mrad	Stage 2, lattice cell	FODO		
Front End		Stage 2, linac passes	4.5		
	for001.dat	Total energy, stage 2-3 transition	3.6 GeV		
ICOOL input files	for030.dat for031.dat	Stage 3, type	Dogbone RLA		
		Stage 3, cavity aperture diameter	30 cm		
Ct Din		Stage 3, energy gain per cavity cell	12.75 MV		
Storage Ring		Total energy, stage 3-4 transition	12.6 GeV		
Total muon energy	25 GeV		Linear		
Туре	Racetrack	Stage 4, type	non-scaling		
Number of rings	2		FFAG		
RMS angular divergence, production straight	0.1/γ	Stage 4, cavity aperture diameter	30 cm		
Gap between bunch trains	100 ns	Stage 4, energy gain per cavity cell	12.75 MV		
Possible simultaneous signs per ring	2	Stage 4, lattice cell	FODO		
Total production straight $\mu$ decays in $10^7$ s	10 <sup>21</sup>	Stage 4, cavity cells per lattice cell	2		
Short baseline	$3000-5000 \; \mathrm{km}$				
Long baseline	7000–8000 km				



### Targetry R&D



- · Target concept uses free Hg jet in 20-T solenoidal field
  - jet velocity of 20 m/s establishes "new" target for each beam pulse
  - this approach served as basis of MERIT experiment






### MERIT Experiment




• MERIT completed beam test of Hg-jet target in 15-T

magnetic field using CERN PS


Installation at CERN













During After 10 Tp




### MuCool R&D (1)



- · MuCool program does R&D on cooling channel components
  - RF cavities, absorbers
- · Carried out in MuCool Test Area (MTA) at Fermilab (funded by NFMCC)
  - located at end of 400 MeV linac and shielded for upcoming beam tests








### MuCool R&D (2)




- · Motivation for cavity test program: observed degradation in cavity performance when strong magnetic field present
  - 201 MHz cavity easily reached 19 MV/m without magnetic field

— initial tests in fringe field of Lab G solenoid show some degradation

o and lots of scatter



201 MHz cavity





### MuCool R&D (3)




- · Tested pressurized button cavity at MTA (Muons, Inc.)
  - use high-pressure  $H_2$  gas to limit breakdown ( $\Rightarrow$  no magnetic field effect)

Remaining issue: What happens when high intensity beam traverses gas?










#### MICE Schematic





#### Muon Ionization Cooling Experiment






### MICE Hall (1)



#### · Hall will contain a lot of equipment





# MICE Hall (2)



- · Beam line portion is in place and being commissioned
- · Magnetic shielding walls in place
  - raised floor for experiment being installed








### MICE Hardware Status (1)



- Beam line components in and working
  - no correctors or collimators available
- Detectors and DAQ
  - TOFO and TOF1 installed and operating
     TOF2 available "soon"
  - CKOVs 1 and 2 installed and operating
  - KL layer of calorimeter delivered
    - odesign for remainder of EMR completed (Trieste and Geneva)
      - looking for funding source (INFN has said no)
  - SciFi trackers completed and tested with cosmics
    - o installation awaits spectrometer solenoids to house them
  - DAQ is functional but needs user interface improvements
    - obetter integration with slow controls is required
      - "on-line" group set up to deal with this
        - includes Coney and Hanlet




### MICE Hardware Status (2)




- NFMCC delivering Spectrometer Solenoids and RFCC modules
  - spectrometer solenoids almost done, but very late due to vendor delays
  - coupling coil prototype test (ICST/HIT) will get under way next month
  - RF cavity RFP due back next week
     RFCC module design (LBNL) is complete










# MICE Stages



#### · Present staging plan (some delays have occurred)





#### MICE Collaborators



#### · Collaborating institutions

Europe Bari Brunel CERN Edinburgh Genève Genova Glasgow Impérial College Legnaro Liverpool LNF Frascati Louvain la Neuve Milano Napoli NIKHEF Novosibirsk Oxford Padova Pavia PSI RALRoma III Sheffield Trieste Warwick

Asia U.S. KEK ANLOsaka BNL ICST-Harbin Chicago-Enrico Fermi Institute FNAL Illinois Institute of Technology TJNAF LBNL Mississippi Muons, Inc. New Hampshire UCLA

UC-Riverside

Shows broad international support for muon cooling study



### International Perspective



#### · International community holds annual "NuFact" workshops

- provides opportunity for physics, detector, and accelerator groups to plan and coordinate R&D efforts at "grass roots" level
- venue rotates among geographical regions (Europe, Japan, U.S.)

	•
<u>Year</u>	<u>Venue</u>
1999	Lyon, France
2000	Monterey, CA
2001	Tsukuba, Japan
2002	London, England
2003	New York, NY
2004	Osaka, Japan
2005	Frascati, Italy
2006	Irvine, CA
2007	Okayama, Japan
2008	Valencia, Spain
2009	Chicago Tl.





### FY09 Budget



- Prepared initial budget for FY09 based on CR guidance of "flat-flat - 2%" funding
  - hope for better news if/when CR ends
- · Discussed and approved by TB, EB, and MCOG
- · Goal: keep simulation activities viable while making progress on key fabrication activities
  - also try to mitigate attrition in Lab funding
- · R&D objectives
  - proceed with MICE RFCC module fabrication
  - decommission MERIT experiment
  - participate in IDS-NF and MICE (⇒ common fund payment)
  - continue RF test program at MTA
  - expand effort on collider design




### FY09 Funding Distribution



#### · FY09 NFMCC budget (only DOE-NFMCC funds)†

†Also: salary support from BNL, FNAL, LBNL; support from NSF of \$1M (\$798K MRI + \$133K 3-yr grant); support of Muons, Inc. via SBIR grants

Institution	COOLING	TARGETRY	ACCEL./	RESERVE	TOTAL (\$K)
	/MICE		COLLIDER		
BNL		95	100		195
FNAL	70				70
LBNL <sup>a</sup>	740			10	750
ANL	190				190
IIT	82				82
Mississippi	18		12		30
Princeton		20			20
UC-Berkeley			3		3
UCLA			55		55
UC-Riverside			95		95
ORNL		45			45
Jlab	5		35		40
TOTAL (\$K)	1105	160	300	10	1575
<sup>a</sup> Includes MICE funding of \$690K.					



#### FY09-10 Plans



#### · Targetry

— publish MERIT results

Take guidance from new 5-year R&D plan (NFMCC + MCTF)

#### · Cooling/MICE

- continue testing 805- and 201-MHz cavities with magnetic field
- test gas-filled cavity with beam at MTA (MCTF)
- complete MICE beam line commissioning
   reach Step 3 configuration for cooling channel

#### · Acceleration

- continue participation in EMMA design
- revive SRF R&D

#### · Simulations

- participate in IDS-NF
- continue collider studies with MCTF
  - o aim for feasibility study in FY11-FY12



#### Issues




#### · Three categories where additional support is needed:

- completing our hardware commitments to international experiments
  - MICE hardware commitments will be honored at present budget levels, but at least 1 year late
    - any substantial need for contingency would result in further delays
- restoring the health of our simulations and theory effort
  - omanpower has eroded away after years of flat budgets
    - need effort for IDS-NF, MICE analysis, EMMA design, and MC design work
      - need to assess resource needs (not just \$ issue)
- launching new initiatives, especially RF work

(

#### ·5-year R&D plan (~\$90M) has been submitted to DOE

- no response yet; expect formal review at some point
- support from MUTAC will be very helpful
  - oneed to strive for this at next review (April 6-8, 2009 at Fermilab)



### Summary and Outlook



- Despite limited funding, NFMCC continues to make progress on carrying out its R&D program
  - initial 201-MHz cavity tests with magnetic field launched
  - MICE spectrometer solenoid fabrication nearly completed
  - completed ISS; paper "almost" published (JINST)IDS-NF under way
  - completed MERIT beam run
     data analysis under way
- · Our work provides potential choices for HEP community
  - muon-based accelerators/colliders offer advantages over other approaches
     they also provide an intense source for low-energy muon physics
- We have been disciplined and effective in carrying out our R&D tasks continue to make good use of our funding
  - but, it is getting harder