## **EMMA Status**

J. Scott Berg Brookhaven National Laboratory For the EMMA Collaboration NFMCC Collaboration Meeting 19 March 2008



## **Overview of EMMA**

- No non-scaling FFAGs has ever been built
- Study single-particle dynamics in linear non-scaling FFAGs
- Same accelerating mode as muon FFAGs
- Small emittance beam probes large acceptance
- Combined-function doublet lattice

Uses displaced quadrupoles







# **Machine Capabilities**

 Study different lattice configurations Different tune ranges Different time of flight behavior Independently vary field and gradient Variable quadrupole displacement Study properties of accelerating mode Adjust RF voltage and frequency





### **Tune Plane**









# Time of Flight vs. Energy







# **Machine Capabilities**



Measure fixed-energy properties

- □ Tune vs. energy
- □ Time of flight vs. energy
- Lattice configuration chosen based on these properties

Inject/extract over entire energy range
 For measuring fixed-energy properties
 Energy measurement of accelerating beam





### Tune vs. Energy









## **Machine Parameters**

- Electrons, 10–20 MeV kinetic energy
- O 3 mm normalized transverse acceptance
  - Probe with small emittance beam
- O 42 doublet cells
- 016.6 m circumference
- O 19 1.3 GHz RF cavities

About every other cell
 Maximum 120 kV (180 kV) per cavity







## **EMMA Layout**









# **EMMA Main Ring Lattice**





# Main Ring Magnets

Short, large-aperture
 D is 65 mm long, 53 mm inscribed radius

- Magnets on motorized horizontal sliders
- OClamp plates shield kickers









# **Main Ring Magnets**

Prototypes delivered and measured
 Shimmed D to extend good-field region
 Clamp plates thickened (saturated)
 Contract placed
 Steel ordered
 All ring magnets delivered by 1 August 2008





## Magnets; Gradient Error in D









## **RF** Cavities

1.3 GHz cavities, 5.5 MHz tuning range
Cavity and associated components designed
Aluminum prototype delivered
Copper prototype delivered by 3 April 2008
Cavities delivered by 14 August 2008





### **RF Cavities**









# **RF Cavity Tuning Range**









## **RF Power Systems**

- 01 80 kW IOT
  - 2nd at a later stage, if needed
- Out to tender in April 08
- Cascaded distribution scheme
- Motorized 3-stub tuners

Frequency variation requires phase variation





## **Cascaded RF Distribution**





## Injection/Extraction

Inject/extract any energy from 10–20 MeV
 Two kickers due to different phase advances
 Inject to any point in 3 mm acceptance
 Handle all configurations
 Inject and extract to outside



## **Injection Section**











### Kicker







# Injection/Extraction



- Doublet not reflection symmetric
- D near septum easier for injection/extraction
  - Larger aperture for F near septum
    - Beam moving right direction at septum
- Choose injection to be easy
  - Find closed orbit parameters for all energies
- Can't extract low energy unless move septum
   Can't move inj. septum: beam moves out







## Injection with F near Septum









## **Injection with D near Septum**







# **Diagnostics: Goals**



- Find the beam the first time
- Find closed orbits, tunes, CS functions
- Find time of flight
- Measure transmission
- Measure energy
- Follow trajectories to measure 6-D acceptance
- Measure properties of probe beam







# **Diagnostics: Ring**

- About 84 sets of BPMs (2 per cell)
- Resistive wall monitor
- OTR screen
- Wire scanner







## **Injection Line**

- Measure properties of probe beam
   Measure beam current
- Match probe beam to main ring







# **Diagnostics (Extraction) Line**

- Planning on two phases (cost)
- OMust measure energy!
- Measure transmission (Faraday cup)
- Measure probe transverse emittance
- Measure longitudinal profile
  - Electro-optic monitor
  - Deflecting cavity too expensive







## **Septum Magnets**

#### Challenges

Large bend: 65–70° in < 15 cm</li>
Minimizing stray fields on beam
Acceptable field uniformity







## Septum



30

Х

# Heutrino Factor Muon Collidet

# Ion Pumps

22 pumps around the ring
 Again, stray fields are a concern
 Fields potentially as high as a few Gauss
 Some measurements from manufacturer
 Direction unknown
 Currently making field measurements





# Space Charge & Beam Loading

- Don't want collective dynamics confusing single-particle dynamics
- More charge desirable for diagnostics
- Less charge to reduce collective effects
  - Space charge
  - Beam loading
  - Short range wakes and higher order modes
- ${}^{\odot}2\times10^8$  seems the best compromise







# Commissioning

○ Fixed-energy for many turns Find closed orbits Compute tunes, time of flight O Beam loading and HOMs: energy loss Restore with RF (zero crossing) No RF, mismatch cavity frequency to beam Slow energy loss, acceptable?





## Commissioning









# **Concluding Remarks**



- Have a design which
  - Allows extensive study of machine behavior
  - Has extensive diagnostics for these studies
- Have begun procurement for major items
  - Magnets, cavities
- Finishing off designs of all components
- Simulations ongoing
- $\odot\,\text{Will}$  be ready to run in Fall 2009







## Acknowledgments

- This is the work of many people in the EMMA Collaboration
- Particular credit goes to the Daresbury Laboratory team
- Particular thanks to Neil Bliss at Daresbury for gathering all the information together for me



