



Plans for International Scoping Study: Machine Working Group

Michael S. Zisman
Center for Beam Physics
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

ISS Organizational Meeting-Imperial College London
July 13-14, 2005

Introduction

- Phase 1 of ISS: study alternative configurations to arrive at baseline specifications for a system to pursue further
 - Proton Driver, Target, Capture Section, Decay Section
 - Bunching, Phase Rotation, Cooling
 - no-cooling option will also be examined
 - Acceleration
 - Storage Ring
- Goal is to complete this work within 6 months
 - then reach consensus on which option(s) to pursue further
- Remaining ISS work will focus on selected option
 - as prelude to subsequent World Design Study
 - develop R&D list as we proceed



Proton Driver/Target /Capture/Decay (1)



- Optimum beam energy
 - depends on choice of target
 - consider C, Ni, Ta, Hg
- Optimum repetition rate
 - depends on target and downstream RF systems
- Bunch length trade-offs (1 vs. 3 ns)
 - need (and approaches) for bunch compression
 - performance implications for downstream systems
- Hardware options
 - FFAG, linac, synchrotron
 - compare performance, cost



Proton Driver/Target /Capture/Decay (2)



- **Optimum target material**
 - solid or liquid
 - low, medium, or high Z
- **Intensity limitations**
 - from target
 - from accelerator issues
 - e.g., due to 1 ns bunches, injection limits, or activation limits
- **Superbeam vs. Neutrino Factory trade-offs**
 - required emittance and focusing
 - horn vs. solenoid capture
 - energy range of interest
 - choice of target material



Bunching/Phase Rotation /Cooling (1)



- Practical accelerating gradient and cost per GeV at several frequencies (5, 88, 201 MHz)
 - include power sources as well as cavities
- Compare performance of existing schemes (KEK, CERN, U.S.-FS 2b)
 - use common proton driver and target configuration(s)
 - evaluate costs (top-down)
 - consider possibility of both signs simultaneously

Bunching/Phase Rotation /Cooling (2)

- Evaluate trade-offs between cooling efficacy and downstream acceptance
 - consider several values of downstream acceptance (longitudinal and transverse)
 - develop agreed-upon figure-of-merit (e.g., μ/P_{prot})
 - consider need/merits of longitudinal cooling
 - identify cost-effective schemes (top-down)
- Evaluate performance issues and limitations
 - absorbers (LH_2 , LiH, Be or plastic)
 - consider implications of both sign muons
 - RF gradient
 - magnetic field requirements

Acceleration

- Compare different schemes
 - RLA, scaling FFAG, non-scaling FFAG
 - consider implications of keeping both sign muons
- Define realistic spacing between cavities and adjacent magnets
- Evaluate acceleration system cost vs. acceptance
 - transverse and longitudinal
 - identify main cost drivers
- Consider matching from upstream system and into downstream ring



Storage Ring

- Implications of final energy (20 vs. 50 GeV)
- Optics requirements vs. beam emittance
 - injection and decay straight section
 - arcs
- Implications of two simultaneous baselines
- Implications of keeping both sign muons
- Radiation issues at 10^{21} useful neutrinos per year
 - liner vs. open-midplane magnets
- Cost implications of design



Detector

- **Not our responsibility...but**
 - need to understand cost trade-offs of higher neutrino intensity vs. bigger detector
 - need to understand issues related to simultaneous use of both sign muons

Organization

- Identify lieutenants to serve as “Machine Council”
 - Fernow (BNL), Garoby (CERN), Mori (Kyoto), Prior (RAL)
 - plus Palmer (BNL) hopefully
- Pick topic leaders in consultation with Council
 - need lists of all potential “workers” too
- Strawman names
 - Driver: **Garoby, Kirk, Mori, Prior**
 - Target: **Bennett, Lettry, McDonald, Sievers**
 - Phase rotation/Bunching/Cooling: **Fernow, Lombardi or Hanke, Neuffer, Palmer, ? from Japan**
 - Acceleration: **Berg, Garoby, Mori, Prior**
 - Storage Ring: **Garren, Mokhov, Rees**

Strawman Meeting Schedule

- Three plenary meetings before NuFact06
 - October '05, January '06, April '06
 - Europe (CERN), U.S. (LBNL, Fermilab, or BNL), Japan (KEK or Osaka)
- Final meeting at NuFact06, or perhaps a day or two before
 - late August '06
- Goal is to complete Phase 1 of Machine study by January '06

Summary

- Challenge is to try to reach consensus on a single optimized Neutrino Factory scheme
 - if we can do this ourselves, without requiring an uninvolved panel of “wise persons” to do it for us, we have truly accomplished a lot as an international community
- Even if we don't quite succeed in selecting a single design, whatever convergence we attain will improve the probability of having a future international facility
- Developing optimal design requires an adequately-funded accelerator R&D program
 - we need to articulate this need and define the ingredients of the program