Time of Flight Dependence on Transverse Amplitude for Non-Scaling FFAG Lattices

J. Scott Berg Brookhaven National Laboratory ISS Machine Working Group Meeting 26 July 2006



What is the Problem?

- Time of flight depends on transverse amplitude
- Reason: larger amplitudes, angles make longer path length



 Different times of flight for different amplitudes create acceleration problems in FFAGs

• Time of flight dependence on amplitude related to chromaticity

$$\frac{d\bar{t}}{ds} = -\partial_E H_T - \frac{2\pi(\partial_E \boldsymbol{\nu}) \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_n}{L} + O(\boldsymbol{J}_n^{3/2}).$$







Acceleration Channels in FFAGs







 $-2\pi\Delta\boldsymbol{\nu}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}_n/(\Delta E)$

 $\Delta\nu_v$ is tune difference from beginning to end per cell, ΔE is energy gain per cell

- Increase energy gain per cell (expensive)
- Use third harmonic RF to make phase space more forgiving (kind of expensive)
- Correct chromaticity (free!) in FFAG
- Put positive chromaticity in transfer lines







- Correct chromaticity with a sextupole component to magnets as follows
 - Construct a linear lattice where
 - Magnet lengths, drift lengths, and the number of cells are fixed
 - * Time of flight is the same at low and high energy
 - * The following three distances in the tune plane are equal
 - > Low energy tune ($\nu_{lo,0}$) to $3\nu_x = 1$ line
 - > Low energy tune to $\nu_x \nu_y = 0$ line
 - > High energy tune ($\nu_{hi,0}$) to $\nu_x 2\nu_y = 0$ line





- Chromaticity correction procedure (cont.)
 - Add sextupole components, and modify dipole and gradient components so that
 - Magnet lengths, drift lengths, and the number of cells are fixed
 - * Time of flight is the same at low and high energy
 - \star If x is the fraction of chromatic correction

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mathsf{lo}} = (1 - x/2)\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mathsf{lo},0} + (x/2)\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mathsf{hi},0} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mathsf{hi}} = (x/2)\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mathsf{lo},0} + (1 - x/2)\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mathsf{hi},0}$$

- Choice of tune range to avoid third order resonances which sextupole will drive
- Plot shows to x = 0.5





Tune Range with Chromaticity Correction









Observations

- Note chromaticity is locally higher!
- However, for uniform acceleration, what matters is the total change in tune
 - However, increased chromaticity may affect phase space locally!
- Time of flight range actually improves with more sextupole
- Must determine if dynamic aperture is sufficient
 - Losses likely on $4\nu_x = 1$ resonance
 - Should ascertain if we have decent dynamic aperture except for that



Time of Flight Variation with Chromaticity Correction









Dynamic Aperture (Machida)

- Dynamic aperture less for higher chromaticity
- Some dynamic aperture reduction on $4\nu_x = 1$ or $4\nu_y = 1$
- 20–30% may be tolerable







What About Initial Linac?

- Chromaticity is uncorrected in linac
- Time of flight deviation is approximately

$$-\frac{2\pi}{\Delta E}\ln\left(\frac{p_f}{p_i}\right)\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{J}_n,$$

- Initial and final momenta p_i and p_f , chromaticity ξ and energy gain ΔE per cell, normalized transverse action J_n in eV-s
- Synchrotron oscillations alleviate the problem somewhat
 - Don't occur in higher energy part of linac
- \bullet About 30° of phase slip in 500–1500 MeV linac



What to do



- Need to do tracking in linac to ascertain the effect
 - Tracking code needs to include everything: avoid approximations
- Could we add occasional chicanes with positive chromaticity?
 - Dynamic aperture or beam blowup
- Shorten linac, go into small RLA sooner
- RLA may see this issue also
 - Alleviated by synchrotron oscillations somewhat
 - ★ Turns into energy shift
 - Can we over-correct chromaticity in arcs?
 - ***** Geometric aberrations

