Current-Dependent Effects in Muon Acceleration

J. Scott Berg Brookhaven National Laboratory Muon Collider Design Workshop 5 December 2007



Basic Parameters

 Accelerate from 90 GeV to 4 TeV in 2 stages □ 90 GeV to 600 GeV □600 GeV to 4 TeV One bunch each sign $\circ 2 \times 10^{12}$ per bunch □ Will discuss lower charge $\circ \sigma_z = 3$ mm throughout







ILC Accelerating Structures

Assume ILC accelerating structures
 No bunch trains, can pick frequency
 SCRF: don't win at higher frequency







ILC Accelerating Structure

| 1.3 GHz |
|---------------|
| 9 |
| 1038 mm |
| 1384 mm |
| 1036 Ω |
| 9.24 V/pC |
| 70 mm |
| 31.5 MV/m |
| 32.7 MeV |
| 126 J |
| |





Decay



つ

 \circ Assume constant accelerating gradient V

$$\frac{N}{N_0} = \left(\frac{E + \sqrt{E^2 - (mc^2)^2}}{E_0 + \sqrt{E_0^2 - (mc^2)^2}}\right)^{-\frac{mc^2}{qVcr}}$$

Factor in energy gain determines decay

Decays are relatively modest

With decent real estate gradient (> 4 MV/m)
 Above 10 MV/m little is gained



Decays vs. Gradient







Passes through RF



- More passes through RF cavities is better
- Fewer structures
 - Less hardware cost
 - Lower static cryogenic load
- More efficient with RF power
 - Energy cavity initially filled with
 Lower dynamic cryogenic load





Passes through RF

$$n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\Delta E}{p_{\text{max}} c} \frac{B_{\text{avg}} c}{V_{\text{avg}}}$$

- Tradeoff in fractional space usage
- Higher average gradient
 - Larger fraction of ring occupied by cavities
 Lower average magnetic field (longer ring)
 Fewer decays
 Fewer turns





Turns vs. Decay Loss









Beam Loading

 Definition: reduction in amplitude of accelerating voltage by beam Cavity stored energy transferred to beam • Beam loading is good Otherwise stored energy is wasted Many turns: must replace lost energy Requires RF power





Beam Loading: Straight Linac

- $\odot\,At$ 3 Hz, 7.7 MW in the beams
 - Independent of charge for fixed luminosity
- Two linacs: 90 MW of RF stored energy
 - Increases with repetition rate
 - Fixed luminosity, increase rep rate if charge goes down
 - □ Wall plug some factor higher...
- Not to mention 240,000 ILC structures...



Replacement RF Power



- Each bunch extracts 10.5 J (out of 126 J)
- Many passes, must replace lost energy
- O Peak power challenging
 - Directly impacts klystron cost
 - Limitation of input coupler?
- Longer circumference, less power required
- Less charge, less power required



Replacement RF Power Example Numbers



O Low energy ring $\frac{V_{\text{avg}} (\text{MV/m}) B_{\text{max}} (\text{T}) \text{ Power} (\text{MW})}{5 4 1.58} \\
10 4 1.15 \\
5 8 3.15 \\
10 8 2.30$

These powers are high for input coupler
 Cavities with fewer cells

O 6.67 times lower for high energy: reasonable



RF Power Efficiency



 RF power efficiency depends product of Number of turns Fractional energy extraction 16.6% my numbers
 Product ideally around 4 (24 turns) ○ Lower charge Efficiency more important (higher rep rate)

More turns to get good efficiency



RF Power Efficiency







15



"Higher Order Mode" Losses

- Characterized by loss factor
- Short bunches: proportionally to inverse square root of bunch length
- Single pass

INKH KVEI

- 1.07 MV average energy loss
 Also half energy spread
 Out of 32.7 MeV energy gain
- Additional nonlinear effects

OCan lead to instabilities

"Higher Order Mode" Losses Compensation



Run off-crest to compensate

- □12° is sufficient
- Allow to generate RF slope
 - Synchrotron oscillations desirable
 - Naturally in right direction
- Nonlinearities will mess this up
 - Emittance growth
- $\odot \text{Need}$ inductive impedance also



"Higher Order Mode" Losses Instabilities



- Haven't studied very much
- Synchrotron tune in principle very high
 - Stabilizes short-range instabilities
- Talking about small number of turns
 - □25 or so
 - Typical instability growth times: 10⁴ turns or more
 - But normally not so much impedance







RF Frequency Adjustment

Time of flight depends on energy
 Except for RLAs

Adjust RF frequency to match

□ Synchrotron, low energy, $Q_L \approx 1.5 \times 10^6$: OK □ Don's hybrid, $Q_L \approx 4 \times 10^4$: No □ Scaling FFAG, low E, $Q_L \approx 1.4 \times 10^3$: No □ Scaling FFAG, high E, $Q_L \approx 6.5 \times 10^5$: Maybe



RF Frequency Adjustment Ideas



- Refine hybrid designs to reduce time variation
- OR&D on frequency shifting
- ○Use RLAs
 - Polygon shape: more synchrotron oscillation
 Poor efficiency
- OFFAG/RLA hybrid?
- Low current: linac-like accelerating mode
 Semi-isochronous FFAGs







Conclusions

- Decays small if average gradients above 10 MV/m
- RF power efficiency comes from more turns
 Bigger problem with smaller currents
 Higher fields always help
- "Higher order mode" losses significant
 - Biggest problem: longitudinal emittance growth from potential well distortion
 Instabilities likely OK, but check





Conclusions

Biggest problem is time of flight variation
 Polygon-shaped RLA is ideal solution
 Low currents avoid short range wakes
 Can consider linac-like accelerating modes



