

Debut of the MTA beamline

Description, status and commissioning plans

MUTAC Meeting 8-10 April 2008

1

MCTF Background/Recent History, MTA Beamline

171 Design Report Original proposal 1995 LINAC EXPERIMENTAL AREA March, 1995 **Re-proposed for MuCool Test Area** Large-aperture (LANL) magnets – 12" beam-size acceptance for cooling tests FERMI NATIONAL ACCELERATOR LABORATORY RATAVIA, ILLINOIS - Re-designed for dual purpose MTA_V7_10 Prepared by: Chuck Ankenbr MTA beamline Re-use_existing resources » Modest 2" beam sizes Linac beam diagnostic line Transverse emittance measurement » Phase space tomography (w/o dispersion) - Momentum spread measure (high dispersion)



Emittance vs. Operational Tunes





MTA Beamline status, March 2007





Before/After Technical Division







Design of the C magnet

C. Johnstone, F. Mills, D. Harding

MCTF

General	Value
B _{peak} , range	6.0 - 6.5 kG
Repetition Rate	15 Hz
Pulse Length (half sine wave)	8.33 msec
Integrated strength, error	
1 st magnet	0.1668 T-m, ±1% at peak
2 nd magnet	2.5×1^{st} magnet strength, $\pm 1\%$ at peak
Good Field Region	
Width, field error	0.0600 m (2.36"), 10-3 at peak
Gap	0.05100 m (2.008")
Beam tube (elliptical) ~width x height	0.1173 m (4.618"), 0.0508 m (2")
Beam tube thickness	1.59 ×10 ⁻³ m (0.0625")
2 nd magnet:	
Tube diameter, thickness, outside beamline	0.08255 m(3.25"), 1.59 ×10-3 m (0.0625")
Center to center spacing - beam tubes	0.1126 m ± 0.0003 m (4.433± 0.006")
@upstream magnet entrance	
Physical Dimensions	
Minimum spacing between coils (top to bottom)	$0.1080 \pm 0.0064 \ (4.25 \pm 0.125")$
Maximum slot length	
1 st magnet	0.4254 m ± 0.0064 (16.75 ±0.25")
Maximum steel Length	
1 st magnet	0.2604 m (10.25")
Required Mechanical Properties	
Operational flexing or fatiguing of coil+core	≤0.1 mil
Coil or Core temperature rise, at any point	<10° C , for
Cooling water available	~1 gal/min @60psi and 95°F
Connections, water and power	Standard Fermilab connections





The C magnet – TD, October, 2007





- Hatch shielding reconfiguration for beam
 - Eliminate vertical "lines of sight", floor leveled
 - Required crane + riggers
 - Allowed staging and rough installation via same crane/riggers
 - Addressed shutdown manpower shortages
 - Critical for successful installation of beamline during '07 shutdown





As-built MTA Beamline, Nov., 2007





Extraction Area and Emittance Measurement



Extraction from Linac

10 m straight between quads3 MW profile monitors for tomography





- Radiological limits:
 - Normal operation losses
 - Unlimited occupancy *
 - ≤0.25 mrem/hr
 - Controlled Area: postings**
 - 0.25 5 mrem/hr
 - Radiation Area: fencing, posted^{**}
 - 5 100 mrem/hr
 - Accident
 - 500 mrem/accident; 1 sec to stop beam**
 - Max 15 Hz repetition rate



Beam Conditions and External Shielding

- Fermilab Linac beam:
 - 400-MeV protons
 - σ_r = 1cm for loss calculations
 - Defines beam tail (normal losses), size (accidents)
 - 2×10^{14} p/s or 1.3×10^{13} p/pulse
 - Max 15 Hz repetition rate
- External shielding:
 - 18" concrete ceiling
 - Load bearing: up to19' of dirt
 - 8' of berm



Target Models: hydrogen absorber (2% λ), 1 cm thick Cu disk (10% λ), Muons Inc. gas cavity (150% λ) – I. Rakhno





- Generic Target: 1 cm, 10% λ Cu disk:
 - Results for full Linac intensity @15Hz, dirt
 - replacing 8' berm with iron, BMCN (heavy concrete)





- Implementation of fence + postings
 - Achieves 1 Hz operation @ full Linac intensity
 - 1 Hz hardwired into C magnet power supply
 - Can be reversed for full 15 Hz operation





- Worst-case accidents:
 - Two pulse beam loss, full Linac intensity
 - Component downstream of hatch shielding
 - 1st beam stop, partially inside shielding





Worst Case Accident MTA Stub

- Two-pulse beam accident near waveguides I. Rakhno
 - Note! waveguides assumed encased in shielding



- Currently not the case

C. Johnstone



		-
LO	cati	on

Dose (mrem/pulse)

Beam pipe 5 m US the 12-ft sh.block	1
Beam pipe 2.5m US the 12-ft sh.block	1
Beam stop itself	1
Beam pipe inside the 12-ft sh.block	25
Quad 5 m DS the 12-ft sh.block	240

There is no cross-talk between the penetrations, so that we have **two spots** 240 mrem/pulse each, not one spot 480 mrem/pulse.

*MARS simulations by I. Rakhno



Worst Case Accident Linac Enclosure

• Two-pulse accident on 1st beam stop.



C. Johnstone

MUTAC Meeting 8-10 April 2008



Operational losses, 1st beam stop



MUTAC Meeting 8-10 April 2008



- 1/per minute to 1st beam stop approved
 - Estimated readiness for beam June, 2008
 - Contingent on Linac downtime (enclosure access) for
 - C magnet installation
 - Final beamline alignment
- Beam to 2nd beamstop, end of MTA stub requires
 - Relocation of waveguides plan in progress
 - Gap in hatch shielding filled
- Beam to Muon's Inc. gas-filled cavity
 - Modeled in MARS (I. Rakhno)
 - Specific, local shielding required



Beam Experiment, Muons Inc Cavity



Rf Cavity

Looking Downstream elevation view

Profile View



MARS Results Muons Inc. Cavity

- At 1 Hz full Linac intensity

 Without local shielding
 - Dose exceeds 100 mrem/hr on top of berm
 - With 3' local shielding
 - The dose ~ 20 mrem/hr
 @hottest spot
 - Cavity is the beamstop

(I. Rakhno)





Summary

- With Fence and postings. No additional shielding:
 - Beamline operation is presently limited to 1 Hz
 - 1 Hz operation has been implemented in pulsed extraction magnets
 - Configuration control (local shielding) will be required for Muons Inc rf cavity – 2-3' of concrete
 - Experiments which are not "beam stops" require a beam absorber

Acknowledgements: Proton source dept, F. Garcia, in particular, and Ext. Beams, C. Moore, dept head.